



Action 3 - Activity 3.1 Mapping activities' organization

GENERAL INFO:

Good practice title: Household food wastage prevention - accompanying behavioural change and quantifying impact

Proposers: Brussels Environment - IBGE contracted InterEnvironnement Bruxelles

Part	tner:					
	Public authority		Public authority and LOD	LOD		
	Other (please, specify):					
Mai	n activities:					
	Communication campaign	on w	aste reduction			
	Packaging reduction, as					
	Deli counter packag	ing r	eduction			
	Sale of products wit	h re	fills (detergents, razors, batte	ries, etc.)		
	Sale of products wit	h en	npty returnable (water, wine),			
	Sale of products with reduced packaging (ecodesign)					
	Use of reusable boxes/pallet					
	Other (please, speci	fy):				
	Sale of bulk or plug produ	cts (please, specify):			
	Sale of green products (r	eusa	able nappies, rechargeable b	atteries etc.), eco label or		
	other environmental cert	ificat	ions,			
	Reuse of unsold products	(food	d a non food). In particular:			
	Donation to charitie	es.				
	Discounts to consur	ners	for products close to expiry			
	Other (please, speci	fy):				
COW	P R WARE DI TRENTO AM	BIE	NTEITALIA Consumatori Norde	Reggio nel Mondo Administratorio per la promozione di Reggio Emilio Sel di Reggio Reg		





Reuse market					
Other actions of prevention:					
Start date: 2004					
Activities' state of art : ongoing finished other (please, specify):					
Country, Region and City where activities have been developed: Brussels Capital Region					
Inhabitants:					
Contacts: IBGE Joëlle Van Bambeke: jva@ibgebim.be					
Useful links: Fonte report pre-waste: http://www.prewaste.eu/					
DESCRIPTION					
1. ACTIVITIES BRIEF DESCRIPTION					
Please, provide a brief description of your activity highlighting the added value of your practice within the selected category.					
6 households with a variety of profiles were selected among some 30 volunteers (families with no, one or several children, already composting or not etc.) for test the environmental behavior.					
2. ACTORS					
Please, indicate stakeholders and key players that are developing the activity.					
None besides the 6 voluntary households.					

3. BENEFICIARIES

Target groups and final beneficiaries to whom the initiatives is directed.







Citizens.			

4. OBJECTIVES

What are general and specific objectives within the waste reduction policy? (Please, quantify as more as possible).

Accompanying, measuring behavioural change of the 6 voluntary households.

5. VOLUNTARY INSTRUMENTS ADOPTED (if it is relevant)

Indicate whether and what tools - communication, economic, legislative and volunteers - have been used to develop the activities (e.g.: Agenda 21, participation tools and methodology, memoranda of understanding, certification, environmental management systems).

6. PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Describe the project making ways (action / work steps).

Accompanying behavioural change: Over a 3-month period, the households took part in 3 meetings and a guided visit to a medium sized supermarket during which they were provided concrete advice on how to reduce food and packaging waste and tools to record their behaviour.

Measuring behavioural change: Households were asked to provide information on their eating and drinking habits, and to weigh different waste fractions daily: bio-waste fraction including unavoidable organic kitchen waste (such as vegetable peelings, coffee grounds) and food wastage, and recyclable food packaging fraction (such as plastics, metals and tetra cartons). They also kept track of the prevention actions they implemented day to day. In addition, the content of their rubbish bags (excluding paper waste) were weighed externally by waste fraction during a week at the beginning of the project and during a week at the end off the project.

Analysis: From this data, conclusions could be drawn on the type of food wastage, the quantities, and the causes. A food wastage reduction of 80% was observed over the course of the project. Arguments and actions for waste prevention that were perceived as most effective were identified.













7. MONITORING

Describe the methodological approach adopted for the monitoring of outputs and results.
Have indicators been provided ex ante in order to measure outputs and results? Please, provide an indicators list.

8. WASTE REDUCTION RESULTS

Please, indicate to what reduction of waste degree the project has contributed.

This pilot project demonstrated that a series of simple actions can reduce food wastage by households and quantified the reduction potential. These findings have been used for decision making on waste prevention strategies and as strong arguments to underpin information campaigns.

The tools and advice provided to the households were finetuned in the course of the project taking into account the households responses.

9. COSTS

What are the activities'	costs? What	are the activities'	' revenues (i	f anv)?
villat are the activities	costs. Willat			

10. FUNDS SOURCES

Indicate types and amount of available and intercepted funds.

SWOT ANALYSIS













PLEASE, FILL IN THE FOLLOWING TABLE:

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES
-	- only six households participating in the project;
_	 only households who are already proactive and environmentally aware tend to volunteer to participate in this type of initiative, therefore their initial wastage may be lower than average and the prevention potential may be underestimated.
OPPORTUNITIES	THREATS
-	-
-	-
-	-







